

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/916,288

Applicant(s)

KIRKPATRICK ET AL.

Examiner

Kristie Shingles

Art Unit

2141

## All Participants:

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Alton Hornsby, Atty.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 October 2006

Time: 10:30am

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 19, 31, 36, 37 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Applicant of the allowability of independent claims 1, 10, 31 and 36. Examiner suggested cancelling independent claims 19, 37 and 40 due to their differing claim limitations and broader claim language. Applicant agreed and authorized Examiner to do an Examiner's Amendment cancelling claims 19, 37, 40 and their corresponding dependent claims.